

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: ZHANG, Caiteng

SERIAL NO.: 10/590637 ART UNIT: 1796

FILED: 23 August 2006 EXAMINER: Heincer, L. J.

TITLE: A SOLUTION OF METAL-POLYMER CHELATE(S) AND APPLICATIONS THEREOF

Amendment B: REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendments, previous Claims 1 -30 and 41 remain pending in the present application. Reconsideration of the rejections, in light of the forgoing amendments and present remarks, is respectfully requested. The present amendments have been entered for the purpose of distinguishing the present invention from the prior art.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-30 and 41 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 1-30 and 41 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 13, 16, 18-30 and 41 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by the Yamashita patent.

As an overview to the present reply, Applicant's attorney has been instructed to present claim amendment directly from the Inventor. The Applicant/Inventor has only approved the submission of the claim amendments and supplemental remarks for consideration, as prepared by the Applicant/Inventor. Applicant's attorney appreciates the Examiner efforts to examine the present application in these circumstances.

With respect to independent Claim 1, Applicant has included additional structural limitations to the chemical solution, such as the recitation of the bio-carrier disclosed in the original

specification. The percentages of the composition have been adjusted in the Claim 1 to reflect a conversion of percentages. Claims 2-13 also include the recitation of this bio-carrier in the claim language. Claims 14-30 and 41 have been converted into method claims with the bio-carrier limitation as well.

Applicant's attorney has included bolded text in the Applicant/Inventor's Supplemental Remarks for guidance to the Examiner.

Based upon the foregoing analysis, Applicant contends that independent Claim 1 is in a condition. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the claims at an early date is earnestly solicited. Since no new claims have been added above those originally paid for, no additional fee is required.

Respectfully submitted,

June 23, 2009

Date

Customer No. 24106

/Andrew W. Chu/

John S. Egbert; Reg. No. 30,627
Andrew W. Chu; Reg. No. 46,625
Egbert Law Offices PLLC
412 Main Street, 7th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002
(713)224-8080
(713)223-4873 fax